IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

WRIT PETITION NO. 488 (MS) OF 2006

Alladia,
S/o Shri Idriah,
R/o Village-Sirchandi, Pargana-Bhagwanpur,
Tehsil-Roorkee, District- Haridwar. .....Petitioner.

Versus

1. Up Sanchalak Chakbandi/Collector Haridwar, District Haridwar.
2. Tilak Ram, S/o Shri Ramswaroop, R/o Village-Sirchandi, Pargana-Bhagwanpur, Tehsil-Roorkee, District Haridwar. .....Respondents.

Mr. Lok Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Learned Standing Counsel for the respondent no. 1.

Dated: 04.05.2006

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

By the present writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a writ of certiorari quashing the orders dated 07.10.2002, 31.03.2004 and 01.09.2005 (Annexures No. 1, 3 and 4 to the writ petition) passed by the Assistant Settlement Officer of Consolidation and respondent no. 1 respectively.

Petitioner has submitted that a compromise was filed before the Revisional Court, but the matter has not been decided in accordance with the compromise by the Deputy Director of Consolidation. The petitioner filed a recall application, which has been rejected.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the Deputy Director of Consolidation has not proceeded in accordance with the

compromise and as such, it will be proper for the petitioner to file a review petitioner and state before the Deputy Director of Consolidation that the compromise has not been adhered and as such, the findings recorded by the Deputy Director of Consolidation by allowing the application partly is erroneous. If such a review petition is filed, the Deputy Director of Consolidation shall decide the controversy and shall clear all the grievance of the petitioner within a period of two months from the dated of presentation of the certified copy of the order.

Writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

(Rajesh Tandon, J.)

04.05.2006
Rathour